BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation and First Supplemental Accusation Against:)) No. D-2409
EUGENE ABTS, M.D. License No. C-9465,)))
Respondent.	_)

DECISION

The attached Stipulation is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective on May 19, 1980.

IT IS SO ORDERED April 17, 1980.

DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

A. DAVID AXELRAD, M.D. Secretary-Treasurer

ORIGINAL

GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN, Attorney General 1 of the State of California JOEL S. PRIMES 2 Deputy Attorney General 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 3 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: 916/445-5312 4 Attorneys for Complainant 5 6 7 BEFORE THE 8 DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 No. D-2409In the Matter of the Accusation 11 and First Supplemental Accusation Against: 12 STIPULATION EUGENE ABTS, M.D. 13 2767 Olive Hwy. #5 Oroville, California 14 License No. C-9465 15 Respondent. 16

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Respondent, Eugene Abts, M.D., by and through his attorney, Robert Sullivan, and the Board of Medical Quality Assurance, Division of Medical Quality, through its counsel, Deputy Attorney General Joel S. Primes, do hereby enter into the following stipulation.

- 1. Respondent, Eugene Abts, M.D., hereby acknowledges receipt of Accusation No. D-2409, First Supplemental Accusation, Statement to Respondent and copies of the Notice of Defense form.
 - 2. Respondent and his counsel have fully discussed

the charges and allegations contained in said Accusations No. D-2409 on file with the Division of Medical Quality, Board of Medical Quality Assurance, and respondent has been fully advised with regard to his rights in this matter.

- 3. Respondent is fully aware of the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in said Accusations No. D-2409, his right to reconsideration, appeal and any and all other rights which may be accorded pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act and the laws of the State of California.
- 4. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily waives his right to a hearing, reconsideration, appeal, and any and all other rights which may be accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and the laws of the State of California with regard to said Accusations No. D-2409.
- 5. For the purposes of this proceeding, respondent admits each and every allegation contained in Count 3 of the September 24, 1979 Accusation and the allegations contained in the First Supplemental Accusation. Both admissions relate only to a violation of Business and Professions Code section 2399.5, the prescribing of drugs without a medical indication or pathological reason. Based on the foregoing stipulation, the Division of Medical Quality, Board of Medical Quality Assurance, may issue the following order:
- A. The license to practice medicine and surgery in the State of California heretofore issued to respondent is hereby revoked; provided, however, execution of this order of

revocation shall be stayed and respondent shall be placed on probation for a period of five years from and after the effective date of this decision upon the terms and conditions listed herein.

- B. Respondent shall not, during the first 45 days immediately following the effective date of the Board's order, exercise any of the rights and privileges granted to him by his license to practice as a physician and surgeon in the State of California.
- C. Respondent shall not prescribe, administer, dispense, order, or possess (except as prescribed, administered or dispensed to respondent by another person authorized by law to do so) controlled substances as defined by the California Uniform Controlled Substance Act, except for those drugs listed in Schedules IV and V of the Act and Empirin Codeine 3, and Tylenol with Codeine 3.
- D. Within one year of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Division for its prior approval, a program of approved Category 1 continuing medical education in pharmacology. The exact number of hours and the specific content of the program shall be determined by the Division or its designee and shall not total less than 25 nor more than 75 hours per year. This program shall be in addition to the continuing medical education requirements for relicensure. The Division or its designee may also require respondent to pass an examination related to the content of the program.

- E. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws and all rules and regulations governing the practice of medicine in California.
- F. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- G. Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program.
- H. Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division's medical consultant upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- I. In the event respondent should leave California to reside or practice outside the State, respondent must notify in writing the Division of the dates of departure and return.

 Periods of residency or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of this probationary period.
- J. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may set aside the stay order and impose the revocation of the respondent's certificate.
- K. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate will be fully restored.
- It is agreed that the terms set forth herein shall be null and void and not binding upon the parties hereto unless approved by the Board of Medical Quality Assurance of the State of California.

1	DATED / /	GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN, Attorney General of the State of California
2	1/23/36	JOEL S. PRIMES Deputy Attorney General
3	·	
4		Greet. / mms
5		JOEL S. PRIMES Deputy Attorney General
6		Attorneys for Complainant
7		
8	1./-	
9	DATED: 1/7/80	TURNER AND SULLIVAN
10		Court Hulliam.
11		ROBERT J. SULLIVAN
12		Attorneys for Respondent
13		
14	I have read th	he above document and fully discussed it
15	with my counsel. I agre	ee to the above stipulation.
16		
16 17	2	
and a second	DATED://7/80	EUGENE ABTS M.D.
17	DATED://7/80	EUGENE ABTS M.D. Respondent
17 18	1/2/80	EUGENE ABIS M.D. Respondent
17 18 19	1/2/80	EUGENE ABIS M.D. Respondent
17 18 19 20	1/2/80	EUGENE ABTS M.D. Respondent
17 18 19 20 21	1/2/80	EUGENE ABTS M.D. Respondent
17 18 19 20 21 22	1/2/80	EUGENE ABTS M.D. Respondent
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1/2/80	EUGENE ABTS M.D. Respondent
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1/2/80	EUGENE ABTS M.D. Respondent

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

osp

REDACTED

1	GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN, Attorney General of the State of California
.2	JOEL S. PRIMES Deputy Attorney General
3	555 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 Sacramento, California 95814
4	Telephone: 916/445-5312
5	Attorneys for Complainant
6	
7	
8	
9	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
10	BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	In the Matter of the Accusation) No. D-2409 Against:
13	EUGENE ABTS, M.D. ACCUSATION
14	2767 Olive Hwy. #5) Oroville, California)
15	License No. C-9465
16	Respondent.)
17	
18	COMES NOW the complainant, Robert Rowland, and as cause
19	for disciplinary action against the above named respondent,
20	alleges as follows:
21	I
22	Complainant, Robert Rowland, is the Executive Director
2 3	of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance of the State of
24	California (hereinafter referred to as the "Board") and makes
2 5	this accusation solely in such official capacity.
26	II
27	On or about November 20, 1946, respondent Eugene Abts,

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

M.D., was issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C-9465 by the Board to practice medicine and surgery in the State of California and at all times mentioned herein respondent was and now is licensed by the Board to practice medicine and surgery in this State.

III

Section 2361 of the Business and Professions Code

(hereinafter referred to as the "Code") provides that the

Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any holder

of a certificate, who is guilty of unprofessional conduct which

has been brought to its attention, or whose certificate has been

procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision or term of this chapter.

IV

Section 2390 of the Code provides that the use or prescribing for or administering to himself, of any of the controlled substances specified in Schedule I of section 11054, or Schedule II of section 11055, or any narcotic drug specified in Schedule III of section 11056, of the Health and Safety Code; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in section 4211 of this code, or of alcoholic beverages to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to a person holding a certificate under this chapter, or to any other person or to

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

the public, or to the extent that such use impairs the ability of such person so holding such certificate to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by such certificate or the conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption or self-administration of any of the substances referred to in this section or any combination thereof, constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter; the record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct; a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section; the Division of Medical Quality may order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment.

V

Section 2391 of the Code provides that unless otherwise provided by this section, the prescribing, selling, furnishing, giving away or administering or offering to prescribe, sell, furnish, give away or administer any of the drugs or compounds mentioned in section 2390 to a habitue or addict constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter.

If the drugs or compounds are administered or applied by a licensed physician and surgeon of this state or by a registered nurse acting under his instructions and supervision, this section shall not apply to any of the following cases:

- (a) Emergency treatment of a patient whose addiction is complicated by the presence of incurable disease, serious accident or injury, or the infirmities attendant upon age.
- (b) Treatment of habitues or addicts in institutions approved by the Division of Licensing where the patient is kept under restraint and control, or in city or county jails or state prisons.

VI

Section 2399.5 of the Code provided, prior to 1976, as follows:

prescribing, dispensing or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in section 4211 of the Business and Professions Code without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor, constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter.

VII

Section 2399.5 of the Code was amended in 1976 to provide as follows:

(a) Prescribing, dispensing or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in section 4211 of the Business and Professions Code without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor, constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter.

(b) No physician and surgeon shall be found to have committed unprofessional conduct, within the meaning of subdivision (a) if, at the time drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished:

- (1) The physician and surgeon was a designated physician serving in the absence of the patient's physician, provided such drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished only as necessary to maintain the patient until the return of his physician, but in any case no longer than 72 hours; or
- (2) The physician and surgeon transmitted the order for such drugs to a registered nurse in an inpatient facility provided that such physician and surgeon has consulted with a registered nurse who has reviewed the patient's records and provided that such physician and surgeon was designated as the physician to serve in the absence of the patient's physician; or
- physician serving in the absence of the patient's physician and was in possession of or had utilized the patient's records and ordered the renewal of a medically indicated prescription for an amount not exceeding the original prescription in strength or amount or for more than one refilling.

VIII

Section 11154 of the Health and Safety Code provides as follows:

Except in the regular practice of his profession, no person shall prescribe, administer, dispense, or furnish, a controlled substance to or for any person who is not under his

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

treatment for a pathology or condition other than addiction to a controlled substance, except as provided in this division.

IX

Section 11190 of the Health and Safety Code, as amended in 1976, provides:

Every practitioner, other than a pharmacist, who issues a prescription, or dispenses or administers a controlled substance classified in Schedule II shall make a record that, as to the transaction, shows all of the following:

- The name and address of the patient. (a)
- The date. (b)
- The character and quantity of controlled substances involved.

The prescriber's record shall show the pathology and purpose for which the prescription is issued, or the controlled substance administered, prescribed, or dispensed.

X

Respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct as outlined in sections 2360, 2361, 2391, 2399.5 and Health and Safety Code sections 11154 and 11190 as follows:

COUNT 1:

Respondent prescribed Percodan, a Schedule II narcotic (Health & Saf. Code, § 11055, subd. (d)(1); § 4211, subd. (k) of the Code and 1308.12, subd. (d)(l) C.F.R.), to patient R on the following occasions without a medical indication or pathological reason:

//

ı	DATE	PERCODAN TABLETS
2	5/7/76	100
3	7/1/76	100
4	8/30/76	200
5	9/24/76	200
6	10/22/76	200
7	11/19/76	200
8	1/14/77	200
9	2/11/77	200
10	3/11/77	200
11	4/6/77	200
12	5/5/77	200
13	5/25/77	200
14	6/23/77	200
15	7/21/77	200
16	8/26/77	200
17	9/21/77	200
18	10/17/77	100
19	11/10/77	200
20	1/3/78	200
21	1/30/77	200
22	2/25/78	200
23	3/23/78	200
24	Between May 7, 1976 and	March 23, 1978, 4,100 Percodan
25	tablets were prescribed for patien	t Le without respondent
26	ever seeing the patient.	
27	//	

98P

COUNT 2:

Respondent prescribed Dilaudid, a Schedule II
dangerous drug (Health & Saf. Code, § 11055, subd. (d)(1); §
4211, subd. (k) of the Code and 1308.02, subd. (d)(1) C.F.R.),
to patient W Chan Chan on the following occasions without a
medical indication or pathological reason and to a patient
respondent knew or should have known was a habitue or addict:

8	DATE	DILAUDID
9	10/12/76	4 mg X 20
10	11/11/76	4 mg X 36
11	12/16/76	4 mg X 36
12	7/11/66	4 mg X 60
13	8/29/77	4 mg X 60
14	9/1/77	4 mg X 60
15	10/17/77	4 mg X100
16	3/30/78	4 mg X100

Between July 11, 1977 and March 30, 1978, respondent prescribed 320 Dilaudid tablets to patient William Cham with no pathology, tests, or consultations.

COUNT 3:

Respondent prescribed Biphetamine, a Schedule II dangerous drug (Health & Saf. Code, § 11055, subd. (d)(1); § 4211, subd. (k) of the Code and § 1308.12, subd. (d)(1) C.F.R.), to patient J on the following occasions without a medical indication or pathological reason:

- (a) October 26, 1977 36 Biphetamine #20
- (b) November 17, 1977 Biphetamine #20

(c) January 9, 1978 - Biphetamine #20

(d) February 13, 1978 - Biphetamine #20

(e) March 31, 1978 - #60 Eskatrol

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that the Division of Medical Quality hold a hearing on the matters alleged herein and following a hearing issue a decision:

(1) Revoking or suspending the certificate of respondent.

(2) Taking such other and further action as is deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: September 24, 1979

ROBERT ROWLAND

Executive Director

Board of Medical Quality Assurance

REDACTED

1	GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN, Attorney General	
2	of the State of California JOEL S. PRIMES	
3	Deputy Attorney General 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 Sacramento, California 95814	
4	Telephone: 916/445-5312	
5	Attorneys for Complainant	
6		
7		
8		
9	BEFORE THE	
10	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE	
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation) No. D-2409	
13	Against:)	
14	EUGENE ABTS, M.D.) FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL 2767 Olive Hwy., #5) ACCUSATION	
15	Oroville, California)	
16	License No. C-9465)	
17	Respondent.)	
18	COMES NOW the complainant, Robert Rowland, Executive	
19	Director of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance of the State	
20	of California, and for further cause for disciplinary action	
21	against the above named respondent, charges and alleges as	
22	follows:	
23	I	
24	Complainant, Robert Rowland, is the Executive Director	

Complainant, Robert Rowland, is the Executive Director of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance of the State of California (hereinafter referred to as the "Board") and makes this accusation solely in such official capacity.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

25

26

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Health and Safety Code section 11150.5 provides that the provisions of this chapter shall be applicable to controlled substances subject to the Federal Controlled Substances Act (Title II, P.L. 91-513), as provided in subdivision (b) of section 11007. References in this chapter to controlled substances classified in a particular schedule shall be deemed to be a reference to the federal schedule of such number, rather than a reference to the schedules set forth in Chapter 2 (commencing with section 11053) of this division.

III

Dalmane (Flurazepam) is a hypnotic drug listed in the Code of Federal Regulations as a class 4 drug. (C.F.R., § 1308.14, subd. (b)(10).) Ativan (Lorazepam) is an antianxiety agent listed in the Code of Federal Regulations as a class 4 1308.14, subd. (b)(11).) Tranxene (C.F.R., druq. (Clorazepate Dipot assium) is a depressant listed in the Code of Federal Regulations as a class 4 drug. (C.F.R., § 1308.14, subd. (b)(6).) Placidyl (Ethchlorvynol) is a hypnotic drug listed in the Code of Federal Regulations as a class 4 drug. (C.F.R., . 1308.14, subd. (b).) Placidyl is a schedule 4 drug in California per Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (b)(4).

. IV

Respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct as outlined in sections 2360, 2361 and 2399.5 of the Business and Professions Code as follows:

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
Oη	

Respondent prescribed the following drugs to patient M B on the following occasions without a medical indication or pathological reason:

DATE	DRUG
9/27/78	Dalmane, 30 mg., #24
10/4/78	Dalmane refill
10/11/78	Ativan refill
10/13/78	Placidyl, 75 mg., #24
	Tranzeca, 75 mg.

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that the Division of Medical Quality hold a hearing on the matters alleged herein and following the hearing issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending the certificate of respondent.
- Taking such other and further action as is deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: November 30, 1979

ROBERT ROWLAND

Executive Director

Board of Medical Quality Assurance